···'>

## **REMARKS**

The Applicants thank the Examiner for the thorough consideration given the present application. Claims 1-18 are pending, of which claims 2, 4, 11, and 13 are withdrawn. Claims 1, 10, and 18 are amended. Claims 1 and 10 are independent. The Examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider the rejections in view of the amendments and remarks set forth herein.

## Claim for Priority

It is gratefully appreciated that the Examiner has acknowledged the Applicants' claim for foreign priority.

## Information Disclosure Citation

The Applicants thank the Examiner for considering the references supplied with the Information Disclosure Statement filed September 30, 2004, and for providing the Applicants with an initialed copy of the PTO form filed therewith.

## Restriction Requirement

The Examiner has made the Restriction Requirement final, and has withdrawn claims 2, 4, 11, and 13 from further consideration. When independent claims 1 and 10 are found to be allowable, it is respectfully requested that the Examiner rejoin withdrawn claims 2, 4, 11, and 13, and allow all pending claims of this application.

Claim 1, 3, 5-7, 10, 12 and 14-16 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being

anticipated by McCormick (U.S. Patent 5,156,019);

claims 8 and 17 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over

McCormick; and

claims 9 and 18 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over

McCormick in view of Ullberg (U.S. Patent 3,690,988).

These rejections are respectfully traversed.

Amendments to Independent Claim 1

While not conceding the appropriateness of the Examiner's rejection, but merely to

advance prosecution of the instant application, independent claim 1 has been amended herein

to recite a combination of features directed to a method for manufacturing a tissue section,

including inter alia

"slicing an organism specimen, whose form has been fixed by freezing or by using

an embedding agent, in air, along a slicing surface".

In addition, independent claim 10 has been amended herein to recite a combination of

features directed to a device for manufacturing a tissue section, including inter alia

"means for slicing an organism specimen, whose form has been fixed by freezing or

by using an embedding agent, in air, along a slicing surface".

Support for the novel features in claims 1 and 10 can be found in the original

specification, for example, in paragraphs [0053] and [0054].

discloses a "dielectric fluid".

Docket No. 0038-0447PUS1

By contrast, as can be seen in claim 1 of McCormick, this document merely

In the DETAILED DECRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS of

McCormick, the "dielectric oil 22" is used as a concrete example of the "dielectric fluid".

Further, in the case of using the "cold-air", dusts including viruses, etc. will be

undesirably scattered (See BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION, lines 37-46).

Therefore, the "dielectric fluid" of claim 1 of McCormick must be a fluid capable of

preventing dusts from scattering, which are formed when the tissue section is sliced.

Therefore, McCormick fails to disclose or suggest the present invention, in which

the sliced tissue section is automatically formed from the frozen specimen in air.

At least for the reasons explained above, the Applicants respectfully submit that the

combination of features as set forth in each of independent claims 1 and 10 is not disclosed

or made obvious by the prior art of record, including McCormick.

Therefore, independent claims 1 and 10 are in condition for allowance.

**Dependent Claims** 

The Examiner will note that dependent claim 18 is amended merely to place it in

better form.

All dependent claims are in condition for allowance due to their dependency from

allowable independent claims, or due to the additional novel features set forth therein.

Application No. 10/509,743 Amendment dated September 11, 2007 Reply to Office Action of June 18, 2007 Docket No. 0038-0447PUS1 Art Unit: 3724 Page 10 of 11

Accordingly, reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejections under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) are respectfully requested.

Application No. 10/509,743

Amendment dated September 11, 2007

Reply to Office Action of June 18, 2007

Docket No. 0038-0447PUS1 Art Unit: 3724

Page 11 of 11

**CONCLUSION** 

All of the stated grounds of rejection have been properly traversed, accommodated, or

rendered moot. It is believed that a full and complete response has been made to the

outstanding Office Action, and that the present application is in condition for allowance.

If the Examiner believes, for any reason, that personal communication will expedite

prosecution of this application, he is invited to telephone Carl T. Thomsen (Reg. No. 50,786)

at (703) 208-4030(direct line).

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future

replies to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for

any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. §§1.16 or 1.17, particularly extension of time

fees.

Dated: September 11, 2007

Respectfully submitted,

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

James M. Slattery

Reg. No. 28,380

P. O. Box 747

Falls Church, VA 22040-0747

(703) 205-8000

JMS:CTT:smj